

12-0598 MANDATORY BRIEFING OUTLINE

- I. INTRODUCTION
- II. REQUIREMENTS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY
- III. OVERALL NEED FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITIES
- IV. LEAST-COST AND THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE ROUTES
 - A. Mississippi River – Quincy
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - B. Quincy – Meredosia
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - C. Meredosia – Ipava
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors

- D. Meredosia – Pawnee
 1. Length of the Line
 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 4. Environmental Impacts
 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 9. Community Acceptance
 10. Visual Impact
 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- E. Pawnee – Pana
 1. Length of the Line
 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 4. Environmental Impacts
 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 9. Community Acceptance
 10. Visual Impact
 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- F. Pana – Kansas
 1. Need for Mt. Zion Substation
 2. Location of Mt. Zion Substation
 3. Route Location
 - a. Pana - Kansas (if Mt. Zion substation deemed unnecessary)
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - iv. Environmental Impacts
 - v. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - vi. Social and Land use Impacts
 - vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - ix. Community Acceptance
 - x. Visual Impact
 - xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
 - b. Pana - Mt. Zion
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction

- iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
- iv. Environmental Impacts
- v. Impacts on Historical Resources
- vi. Social and Land use Impacts
- vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
- viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
- ix. Community Acceptance
- x. Visual Impact
- xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
- c. Mt. Zion - Kansas
 - i. Length of the Line
 - ii. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - iii. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - iv. Environmental Impacts
 - v. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - vi. Social and Land use Impacts
 - vii. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - viii. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - ix. Community Acceptance
 - x. Visual Impact
 - xi. Presence of Existing Corridors
- G. Kansas – Indiana State Line
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development
 - 9. Community Acceptance
 - 10. Visual Impact
 - 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- H. Sidney - Rising
 - 1. Length of the Line
 - 2. Difficulty and Cost of Construction
 - 3. Difficulty and Cost of Operation and Maintenance
 - 4. Environmental Impacts
 - 5. Impacts on Historical Resources
 - 6. Social and Land use Impacts
 - 7. Number of Affected Landowners and other Stakeholders and Proximity to Homes and other Structures
 - 8. Proximity to Existing and Planned Development

- 9. Community Acceptance
- 10. Visual Impact
- 11. Presence of Existing Corridors
- V. MANAGING AND SUPERVISING THE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS
- VI. FINANCING THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
- VII. OTHER